USFS Plumas National Forest

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 Maps to support selections could not be found on applicant's website.
- #4 Response does not answer: "the cost of the land manager's total OHV program". Selection is inconsistent with Division records.
- #7c Narrative does not support response with regard to both selections.
- #8b Narrative does not support onsite education efforts.
- #8d Narrative does not support response with regard to approved <u>onsite</u> training courses offered to the public.
- #9 Maps not found on applicant's website.
- #13 Narrative does not support response.

Development – OHV Campground

G10-02-13-D01

Project Description

 A - Applicant may want to provide additional detail for the 'Meadow View hand pump well'.

Project Cost Estimate

- #1 Staff- Applicant may want to provide additional detail on 'GS-11, GS-9, GS-7' to allow for a direct cost determination.
- #6 Other, 'Hazard Tree Removal'- Applicant may want to provide additional detail on this item in Project Description.

- #2b Applicant may want to provide a reference document.
- #2c Applicant may want to provide a reference document.

- #4 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details on the publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for this project.
- #5 Narrative does not support response.
- #6 Narrative does not support response.
- #7 Selection appears to be incorrect. Applicant may want to select 'improves support facilities'.
- #10 Narrative does not support response.
- #13 Applicant may want to provide a reference document.

Development – Whitlock Ravine Staging Area

G10-02-13-D02

Project Description

No comment

Project Cost Estimate

- #1 Staff- Applicant may want to provide additional detail on 'GS-11, GS-9, GS-7' to allow for a direct cost determination.
- #3 Materials & Supplies- 'Other vehicle mileage' should be moved to Equipment Use Expense category.

- #2b Applicant may want to provide a reference document.
- #2c Applicant may want to provide a reference document.
- #4 Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional information on the publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for this project.
- #5 Narrative does not support response.
- #6 Narrative does not support response.
- #7 Selection appears to be incorrect. Applicant may want to select 'improves support facilities'.
- #9 Applicant may want to identify stakeholders and provide dates of meetings.
- #10 Narrative does not appear directly related to development activities.
- #12 Narrative does not support response.
- #13 Applicant may want to provide a reference document.

Ground Operations	G10-02-13-G01
Project Description	

• A – Snowmobile certification for 35 staff appears excessive. Applicant needs to identify the 14 miles of trails/roads that mitigation efforts will be completed on.

Project Cost Estimate

- Costs appear excessive in comparison to prior years funding requests for Ground Operations projects.
- #1 Staff "Other Forest Supervisor" and "Other 2 GS 13 staff" appear to be indirect costs.
- #1 Staff "Other-2 GS 11 staff " is unclear.
- #1 Staff "Other- 2 GS 5 recreation" is inconsistent. Line item suggests two staff but quantity shows more than 3 full time employees.
- #2 Contracts- "Other High rated trails work" is unclear.
- #2 Contracts- "Other Staging area overlay" appears excessive.
- #3 Materials and Supplies- "Other supplies per district" is unclear.
- #3 Materials and Supplies- "Other Motorcycle Mtcn" is unclear.
- #4 Equipment Use Expenses- "Equipment rental" appears excessive.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 Narrative does not support response with regard to "multi use".
- #4 Narrative does not support response with regard to "Meetings with stakeholders" or list dates of meetings.
- #6 Narrative does not support selection with regard to "providing bridges", or "re-routing trails".
- #7 Maps are not part of the project.
- #8 Narrative does not support response.

Planning – Cleghorn Bar and Laporte Staging Area G09-02-13-P01 Project Description

• #A – Applicant may want to explain the planning objectives.

Project Cost Estimate

 #2 – Contracts- Applicant may want to provide additional information related to "Other-arch survey for LaPorte".

- #2b Narrative does not support first two selections, narrative is cut off.
- #4 Narrative does not support response with regard to conference calls or list dates of calls and meetings.

Planning – Lake Davis Rec Area	G10-02-13-P02

Project Description

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

• #4 – Equipment Use Expenses- Applicant may want to provide additional information on "Field Vehicle".

- #4 Narrative does not support response with regard to publicly noticed meetings to specifically discuss the project.
- #6 Narrative does not support response with regard to explaining specifically how each partner will participate in the project.
- #7 Narrative does not support the last two selections.
- #10 Narrative does not support response.